Multilateral CSA Notice

Multilateral Instrument 45-107 Listing Representation and Statutory Rights of Action Disclosure Exemptions

June 25, 2015

Introduction

All of the members of the Canadian Securities Administrators (the CSA), other than the securities regulatory authorities in Ontario and British Columbia (the participating jurisdictions or we), are implementing Multilateral Instrument 45-107 Listing Representation and Statutory Rights of Action Disclosure Exemptions (MI 45-107).

MI 45-107 is not being proposed in Ontario and British Columbia as in those jurisdictions local instruments address or are expected to address the issues discussed below, as necessary. Provided all necessary ministerial approvals are obtained, MI 45-107 will come into force on September 8, 2015.

Substance and Purpose of MI 45-107

MI 45-107 provides exemptions from certain requirements of the securities legislation of the participating jurisdictions that apply in the context of prospectus exempt financings conducted by foreign issuers and by investment dealers or international dealers acting as underwriters, and offered to institutional and other sophisticated investors in Canada on a private placement basis.

The purpose of MI 45-107 is two-fold. First, in the context of the international financings referred to above, it provides an exemption from the statutory prohibition against making a representation about the intention to list securities on an exchange or market. Second, it provides an exemption from the requirement that applies in some of the participating jurisdictions, that an offering document used in connection with a prospectus exempt distribution include a prescribed statement with respect to certain statutory rights of action. As a consequence, MI 45-107 eliminates two of the disclosure requirements that result in the preparation of a "wrapper" when foreign securities are offered under a prospectus exemption in Canada as part of a global offering. This may facilitate participation by sophisticated investors that qualify as permitted clients in foreign securities offerings.

MI 45-107 will codify certain discretionary exemptive relief that the CSA has been granting in the context of U.S. and international offerings of securities to Canadian institutional and other sophisticated investors and consequently alleviate the need for these discretionary exemption applications.

Background

The participating jurisdictions previously requested comment on MI 45-107. On November 28, 2013 we published a Notice and Request for Comment relating to MI 45-107 (the **November 2013 materials**).

Summary of Written Comments Received by the participating jurisdictions

The comment period for the November 2013 materials ended on February 26, 2014 and the participating jurisdictions received submissions from seven commenters. The comment letters on the November 2013 materials can be viewed on the Alberta Securities Commission's website at www.asc.ca and on the Autorité des marchés financiers website at www.lautorite.qc.ca.

We have considered the comments received and thank all of the commenters for their input. The names of the commenters are contained in Annex B and a summary of their comments, together with our responses, is contained in Annex C.

Summary of Changes to the November 2013 materials

After considering the comments received, we have made some revisions to the November 2013 materials that were published for comment. Those revisions are reflected in MI 45-107 which we are publishing concurrently with this notice. As these changes are not material, we are not republishing MI 45-107 for a further comment period.

The key changes from the November 2013 materials are as follows:

- We removed the requirement to provide a description of the statutory rights of action for misrepresentation that are available in New Brunswick, Nova Scotia and Saskatchewan in the exempt offering document or notice delivered to a permitted client. Instead, the exempt offering document or notice is only required to include notification that statutory rights of action exist. We have proposed standardized language for the disclosure statement.
- We revised MI 45-107 to use the terms "registered dealer" or "international dealer" rather than "specified firm registrant". This will align MI 45-107 with the terms of the discretionary exemptive relief orders as well as with the amendments made to NI 33-105 *Underwriting Conflicts* (**NI 33-105**).

Related Amendments

The CSA is also proposing amendments to NI 33-105 to provide relief, in the context of these same U.S. and international offerings to institutional and other sophisticated investors, from the requirement in NI 33-105 to provide disclosure relating to connected and related issuers in a prospectus-exempt disclosure document. The proposed exemption from NI 33-105 will apply to

all offerings (registered or unregistered) made in the U.S. to U.S. investors, provided that the same disclosure that is provided to U.S. investors is also provided to Canadian investors.

Local Matters

Annex D is being published in any local jurisdiction that is making related changes to local securities legislation, including changes to local notices or other policy instruments in that jurisdiction. It also includes any additional information that is relevant to that jurisdiction only.

Contents of Annexes

The following annexes form part of this Multilateral CSA Notice:

Annex A MI 45-107

Annex B List of Commenters

Annex C Summary of Comments and Responses

Annex D Local Matters

Questions

Please refer your questions to any of:

Tracy Clark Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance Alberta Securities Commission 403-355-2242

tracy.clark@asc.ca

Chris Besko
Deputy Director
The Manitoba Securities Commission
204-945-2561

chris.besko@gov.mb.ca

Georgia Koutrikas Securities Analyst, Corporate Finance Autorité des marchés financiers 514-395-0337 ext. 4393 georgia.koutrikas@lautorite.qc.ca

Ella-Jane Loomis Legal Counsel, Securities Financial and Consumer Services Commission (New Brunswick) Sonne Udemgba

Deputy Director, Legal, Securities Division Financial and Consumer Affairs Authority of Saskatchewan

306-787-5879

sonne.udemgba@gov.sk.ca

Kristina Beauclair

Senior Securities Analyst, Corporate Finance

Autorité des marchés financiers

514-395-0337 ext. 4397

kristina.beauclair@lautorite.qc.ca

Katharine Tummon

Superintendent of Securities

Prince Edward Island

902-368-4542

kptummon@gov.pe.ca

Rhonda Horte

Deputy Superintendent

Office of the Yukon Superintendent of

Securities

506-658-2602 <u>ella-jane.loomis@fcnb.ca</u>

Jane Anderson Director, Policy & Market Regulation Nova Scotia Securities Commission 902-424-0179 jane.anderson@novascotia.ca 867-667-5466 rhonda.horte@gov.yk.ca

Gary MacDougall Superintendent of Securities Northwest Territories Securities Office 867-873-7490 gary_macdougall@gov.nt.ca

Annex A

MULTILATERAL INSTRUMENT 45-107 LISTING REPRESENTATION AND STATUTORY RIGHTS OF ACTION DISCLOSURE EXEMPTIONS

Definitions

1. In this Instrument

"eligible foreign security" means a security offered primarily in a foreign jurisdiction as part of a distribution of securities in either of the following circumstances:

- (a) the security is issued by an issuer
 - (i) that is incorporated, formed or created under the laws of a foreign jurisdiction,
 - (ii) that is not a reporting issuer in a jurisdiction of Canada,
 - (iii) that has its head office outside of Canada, and
 - (iv) that has a majority of the executive officers and a majority of the directors ordinarily resident outside of Canada;
- (b) the security is issued or guaranteed by the government of a foreign jurisdiction;

"executive officer" means, for an issuer, an individual who

- (a) is a chair, vice-chair or president,
- (b) is a chief executive officer or chief financial officer,
- (c) is a vice-president in charge of a principal business unit, division or function including sales, finance or production, or
- (d) performs a policy-making function in respect of the issuer;

"exempt offering document" means:

- (a) in New Brunswick, Nova Scotia and Saskatchewan, an offering memorandum as defined under the securities legislation of that jurisdiction, and
- (b) in all other jurisdictions, a document including any amendments to the document, that
 - (i) describes the business and affairs of an issuer, and

(ii) has been prepared primarily for delivery to and review by a prospective purchaser to assist the prospective purchaser in making an investment decision in respect of securities being distributed pursuant to an exemption from the prospectus requirement;

"listing representation prohibition" means the prohibition in the securities legislation set out in Appendix A;

"permitted client" has the same meaning as in section 1.1 of National Instrument 31-103 Registration Requirements, Exemptions and Ongoing Registrant Obligations;

"statutory rights of action disclosure requirement" means the provision in the securities legislation set out in Appendix B.

Exemption from Listing Representation Prohibition

- 2. The listing representation prohibition does not apply to a representation made in an exempt offering document in connection with a distribution of an eligible foreign security if
 - (a) the distribution is made only to one or more permitted clients,
 - (b) the representation does not contain a misrepresentation, and
 - (c) the representation is made in compliance with the by-laws and rules of the exchange or quotation and trade reporting system referred to in the representation.

Alternative Disclosure of Statutory Rights

- **3.** (1) In New Brunswick, Nova Scotia and Saskatchewan, the statutory rights of action disclosure requirement is satisfied in respect of a distribution of an eligible foreign security to a prospective purchaser that is a permitted client if the disclosure specified by subsection (2) is provided in one of the following ways:
 - (a) in the exempt offering document;
 - (b) in a document delivered to the permitted client at the same time as the exempt offering document;
 - (c) in a written notice that has been delivered to the permitted client by a registered dealer or international dealer that provides the disclosure required by paragraph 2(b) and advises that the notice will apply to all future distributions.

- (2) A person or company relying on subsection (1) must include disclosure that is substantively similar to one of the following disclosure statements:
 - (a) if the disclosure is included in an exempt offering document:

Securities legislation in certain provinces or territories of Canada may provide a purchaser with remedies for rescission or damages if the offering memorandum (including any amendment thereto) contains a misrepresentation, provided that the remedies for rescission or damages are exercised by the purchaser within the time limit prescribed by the securities legislation of the purchaser's province or territory. The purchaser should refer to any applicable provisions of the securities legislation of the purchaser's province or territory for particulars of these rights or consult with a legal advisor.

(b) if the disclosure is provided other than in an exempt offering document:

If, in connection with a distribution of an eligible foreign security, as defined in [Multilateral Instrument 45-107 Listing Representation and Statutory Rights of Action Disclosure Exemptions, or other applicable provision] we deliver to you an offering document that constitutes an offering memorandum under applicable securities laws in Canada, you may have, depending on the province or territory of Canada in which the trade was made to you, remedies for rescission or damages if the offering memorandum (including any amendment thereto) contains a misrepresentation, provided that the remedies for rescission or damages are exercised by you within the time limit prescribed by the securities legislation of your province or territory. You should refer to any applicable provisions of the securities legislation of your province or territory for the particulars of these rights or consult with a legal advisor.

Limitation of Application

4. Sections 2 and 3 do not apply to a distribution of an eligible foreign security if a prospectus has been filed with a Canadian securities regulatory authority for the distribution.

Effective Date

5. This Instrument comes into force on September 8, 2015.

Appendix A

to Multilateral Instrument 45-107 Listing Representation and Statutory Rights of Action Disclosure Exemptions

Listing Representation Prohibition

Alberta:	Subsection 92(3) of the Securities Act (Alberta)	
Manitoba:	Subsection 69(3) of the Securities Act (Manitoba)	
New Brunswick:	Subsection 58(3) of the Securities Act (New Brunswick)	
Newfoundland and Labrador:	Subsection 39(3) of the Securities Act (Newfoundland and Labrador)	
Northwest Territories:	Subsection 147(1) Securities Act (Northwest Territories)	
Nova Scotia:	Subsection 44(3) of the Securities Act (Nova Scotia)	
Nunavut:	Subsection 147(1) of the Securities Act (Nunavut)	
Prince Edward Island:	Subsection 147(1) of the Securities Act (Prince Edward Island)	
Quebec:	Fourth paragraph of section 199 of the Securities Act (Quebec)	
Saskatchewan:	Subsection 44(3) of the Securities Act (Saskatchewan)	
Yukon:	Subsection 147(1) of the Securities Act (Yukon)	

Appendix B

to Multilateral Instrument 45-107 Listing Representation and Statutory Rights of Action Disclosure Exemptions

Statutory Rights of Action Disclosure Requirement

New Brunswick: Section 2.2 of Local Rule 45-802 *Implementing*

National Instrument 45-106 – Prospectus and

Registration Exemptions

Nova Scotia: Subsection 65(3) of the Securities Act (Nova Scotia)

Saskatchewan: Subsection 80.2(1) of the Securities Act

(Saskatchewan)

Annex B

List of Commenters

- 1. AGF Investments Inc.
- 2. Alberta Investment Management Corporation
- 3. Caisse de dépôt et placement du Québec
- 4. Davies Ward Philips & Vineberg LLP
- 5. Ontario Teachers' Pension Plan Board
- 6. RBC Global Asset Management Inc.
- 7. The Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA)

Annex C

Summary of Comments and Responses

Issue	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
Inconsistencies between the	The proposed disclosure	The relevant jurisdictions
notice requirements in	requirement in MI 45-107	(Saskatchewan, Nova Scotia
proposed sections of National	does not mesh with the notice	and New Brunswick) support
Instrument 33-105	requirement of the proposed	only requiring notification that
Underwriting Conflicts (NI	amendments to NI 33-105.	statutory rights exist.
33-105), exemptive relief		
orders granted to a number of	In addition, the Discretionary	Proposed standardized
large institutional Canadian	Orders permit the Wrapper	language (which is identical to
and foreign dealers (Wrap	Exempt Dealers to provide a	that proposed in the
Exempt Dealers) from	notification of the existence of	amendments to OSC Rule 45-
Canadian-specific disclosure	statutory rights of action to	501) will be added to section 3
requirements that must be	permitted clients instead of a	of MI 45-107.
included in a wrapper (the	description of the statutory	
Discretionary Orders) and the	rights of action.	
disclosure requirements in		
proposed MI 45-107 and OSC	Proposed MI 45-107 and	
Rule 45-501 Ontario	proposed OSC Rule 45-501	
Prospectus and Registration	would only provide for	
Exemptions (OSC Rule 45-	alternative means by which	
501)	the statutory rights of action	
	could be described. This	
	presents two difficulties:	
	• The statutory rights of	
	action differ among the	
	four provinces that	
	have disclosure	
	requirements for the	
	statutory rights of	
	action, resulting in	
	excessively lengthy	
	disclosures; and	
	Although a fully	
	comprehensive	
	description of the	
	description of the	

statutory rights of action could be provided, it would be less useful to investors than a description of statutory rights of action tailored to the particular offering.

Two commenters submitted that, the proposed amendments to NI 33-105 and proposed MI 45-107 would work best if the Canadian disclosure requirements could be satisfied though short standardized disclosure in the offering document. NI 33-105 achieves this in part by enabling a notice to permitted clients to be provided within the offering document. However, this notice requirement does not mesh with the proposed disclosure requirement in MI 45-107 which would continue to require a description of the statutory rights of action available in three provinces.

The required disclosure should be limited, at most, to notification of the existence of statutory rights of action, as is the case of the notices provided by dealers relying on discretionary orders, instead of

	a description of these rights.	
	We understand from our	
	discussions with dealers that	
	they favour the option	
	proposed in NI 33-105 to	
	include a short Canadian	
	section in an offering	
	document rather than sending	
	out and tracking separate	
	notices to Canadian investors.	
	We are concerned, however,	
	that dealers will be reluctant to	
	use this option if they are	
	required to include the same	
	lengthy description of	
	statutory rights of action	
	included in Canadian	
	wrappers in order to comply	
	with requirements currently	
	applicable in Ontario,	
	Saskatchewan, New	
	Brunswick and Nova Scotia.	
	Requiring instead only a	
	notification of the existence of	
	statutory rights of action, as	
	required for a prospectus filed	
	in Canada, would eliminate	
	this potential obstacle thereby	
	facilitating access to	
	distributions of foreign	
	securities for Canadian	
	permitted clients.	
Remove limitation of	The exemptions in MI 45-107	We do not agree that the
Exemptions to Non-Reporting	(as well as NI 33-105) are	definition of "designated
Issuers	restricted to issuers that are	foreign security" should

¹ Note that the term "eligible foreign security" is now used instead of "designated foreign security".

non-reporting issuers in Canada (definition of "designated foreign security").

However, because a non-Canadian entity that is a reporting issuer may be entitled to make its filings in paper format, checking the SEDAR website alone is not sufficient to verify that a non-Canadian issuer is not a reporting issuer in any Canadian jurisdiction. A dealer must also check the reporting issuer lists maintained by each of the 13 Canadian provincial and territorial securities regulatory authorities.

We submit that there is no policy basis for such restriction. The various other restrictions included in the definition of "designated foreign security" achieve the purpose of the proposed exemptions.

include securities issued by reporting issuers. In our view, the policy basis for excluding reporting issuers is the fact that by choosing to become reporting issuers, issuers take active steps to engage with and participate in the Canadian securities regulatory regime and as a result such issuers should be required to comply with Canadian securities requirements.

In our view, issuers should know if they are a reporting issuer in a Canadian jurisdiction, as this will impact various requirements that must be complied with under Canadian securities law.

Annex D

Local Matters

There are no local matters for Alberta to consider at this time.